

COURT NO. 1  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL  
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

19.

MA 3375/2023 in OA 2420/2021

JWO Tapan Dey (Retd) ..... Applicant  
Versus  
Union of India & Ors. .... Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. Durgesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate  
For Respondents : Mr. Rajeev Kumar, Advocate

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON  
HON'BLE REAR ADMIRAL DHIREN VIG, MEMBER (A)

ORDER  
04.03.2025

MA 3375/2023

From the PPO submitted by the respondents on 05.12.2024, it was indicated that pay fixation benefit to the applicant in pursuance to the order passed in OA 2420/2021 were granted. However, a complaint was made that the arrears payable to the applicant has not been credited. On 14.01.2025, representative, PCDA(P), Prayagraj was directed to seek instructions and indicate whether the arrears payable to the applicant by virtue of PPO have been credited to his account. On 14.01.2025, applicant made a statement that the total amount of arrears payable to the applicant as per PPO is Rs. 2.5 lac and only Rs. 1,26,725 has been received by the applicant. The representative PCDA(P) Prayagraj was directed to indicate the same and verify the position. On verification, the respondents have filed a communication received from the Office of the PCDA(P), Prayagraj dt. 31.01.2025

wherein it is clearly indicated that as per the PPO issued on 30.09.2024 the total amount of arrears payable to the applicant is Rs. 1,26,725/- and the same has been paid to the applicant. We have gone through the PPO available on record and we do not <sup>find</sup> ~~found~~ any indication in the said PPO to show that the applicant is entitled to a sum of Rs. 2.5 lac as indicated by the applicant. Keeping in view the compliance report submitted by the respondents, nothing further survives in this application, MA stands disposed of. However, in case the applicant feels that the arrears calculation have not been done properly based on the PPO and the payment slip produced today, the applicant may calculate the same and in case he has any grievance still subsisting, he may represent to PCDA(P) Prayagraj who shall look in the matter and decide the claim of the applicant within a reasonable period of time. With the aforesaid the matter stands disposed of.

\_\_\_\_\_  
[JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON]  
CHAIRPERSON

\_\_\_\_\_  
[REAR ADMIRAL DHIREN VIG]  
MEMBER (A)

KT/Jyoti